

# Deconstructing the relief inversion effect: Contributors of the problem and its solutions

Arzu Çöltekin<sup>a,b</sup> \*, Gianna Hartung<sup>a</sup>, Martina Meyer<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Geography, University of Zurich, <arzu.coltekin@geo.uzh.ch>, Gianna Hartung <giannahartung@gmx.ch>, Martina Meyer <meyer\_martina@gmx.ch>

<sup>b</sup> Institute for Interactive Technologies, FHNW University of Applied Sciences and Arts Northwestern Switzerland, <arzu.coltekin@fhnw.ch>

\* Corresponding author

**Keywords:** Terrain representations, satellite imagery, relief inversion effect, terrain reversal effect

Terrain reversal (also known as relief inversion) effect is a common and well-known illusion encountered in shaded relief maps and satellite imagery where the main depth cue is shading/shadows (Imhof, 1967; Bernabé-Poveda, Callejo, & Ballari, 2005; Saraf, Das, Agarwal, & Sundaram, 1996; Biland & Çöltekin, 2016; Çöltekin, Rautenbach, Coetzee, & Mokwena, 2018), which interferes with our perception of *shape from shading* (e.g., see Kleffner & Ramachandran, 1992; Prados & Faugeras, 2006). If the light shines from below the shadows are then above, and this conflicts with the human mind's unconscious 'statistics', that is, we assume that the light usually is above. This cognitive phenomenon is termed *light from above prior* (Kleffner & Ramachandran, 1992). When the prior is violated, we see three-dimensional (3D) shapes inverted, such that a valley looks like a ridge in a terrain representation (Bernabé-Poveda, Sánchez-Ortega, & Çöltekin, 2011; Bernabé-Poveda & Çöltekin, 2014)

In recent study, we (first author and a collaborator) demonstrated that adding texture and color (as opposed to the shading alone, as in the shaded relief maps) affects the 3D shape identification success (Çöltekin & Biland, 2018). More precisely, in the Çöltekin & Biland (2018); we observed that when texture is present, the success rates are higher (possibly as a result of interpreting the spatial relationships between terrain features); but people do better with 3D shape identification with grayscale images than with the color images; which we interpreted as the result of more pronounced contrast in grayscale images. Because presence of other visual cues do interfere with the illusion, in this study, we explore further additional factors (depth cues, labels, terrain types, task types, expertise levels and spatial abilities) that may contribute or alleviate the relief inversion effect. Understanding how other factors contribute to the strength of the illusion can help develop better informed solutions. In this vein, in a series of experiments, we examined effects of stereoscopic viewing vs. monoscopic viewing, terrain types (highly rugged vs. subtly rugged), task types (3D shape identification vs. land cover identification; simple vs. complex), expertise levels, and spatial abilities. On a second experiment we examined how well various solutions offered in the literature work for correcting the illusion in various combinations of variables (labels, motion, stereo). Figure 1 shows an example of the shape identification tasks used in the first study.



Figure 1. On the left, participants were asked to identify if the point labelled A was on a higher elevation than B. On the right, they were asked if they see a valley or a ridge. Both images contain the terrain reversal effect, that is, the answers are “A is higher than B” for the left image, and “valley” for the right image. Same images were also shown in anaglyph stereo and same questions were asked. Participants worked with 240 items in total in a randomized manner. Images are sourced from Google Earth and labels were added by the project team.

At the moment we are developing full papers reporting the effects of each tested factor in detail, which would be beyond the scope of this short paper. In the scope of this short paper, we focus on the effects of stereo on the strength of the relief inversion experience (does showing the terrain in stereo make the relief inversion effect stronger or weaker?), and on the solutions for satellite images (if we combine a 'solution' with stereo viewing, do shape perception and land cover identification success improve?). The second question, especially the mention of land cover identification, is related to the fact that a common solution to terrain reversal effect is to overlay a semi-transparent shaded relief map (SRM overlay) on top of the image that has the perceptual problem (Bernabé-Poveda, Sánchez-Ortega, & Çöltekin, 2011; Saraf, Das, Agarwal, & Sundaram, 1996b).

Our initial findings suggest that stereo viewing does help against the issues in 3D shape perception, although it does not entirely remove it (3D shape perception accuracy was ~15% with original images, ~32% with the stereo). When stereoscopic viewing is combined with an SRM overlay solution, it improves the 3D shape perception (3D shape perception accuracy with the original SRM overlay solution ~40%, with added stereo ~68%), but impairs the land cover identification (land cover identification accuracy was ~78% with the SRM overlay, and dropped to ~44% with added stereo). These findings are based on two controlled experiments with 33 and 35 participants respectively, and the differences are statistically significant based on analysis of variance ( $p < .05$ ). We believe the impairment of the land cover identification is linked to the stereoscopic viewing method, as the tests were conducted with anaglyph stereo, where color perception is strongly affected. These observations provide us the initial clues that providing the viewers with an additional depth cue is indeed helpful to some degree, but also suggest that the success of the solution depends on how it is implemented, and the nature of the task (in this case, if color is important for the task or not).

We believe our findings are of key importance in understanding the relief inversion effect, and its future solutions, and will guide cartographers towards a more nuanced comprehension and work practices.

## References

- Bernabé-Poveda, M. a., Sánchez-Ortega, I., & Çöltekin, A. (2011). Techniques for highlighting relief on orthoimagery. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 21, 346–352. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.07.028>
- Bernabé-Poveda, M. A., & Çöltekin, A. (2014). Prevalence of the terrain reversal effect in satellite imagery. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 1–24. <http://doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2014.942714>
- Biland, J., & Çöltekin, A. (2016). An empirical assessment of the impact of the light direction on the relief inversion effect in shaded relief maps: NNW is better than NW. *Cartography and Geographic Information Science*, 1–15. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15230406.2016.1185647>
- Çöltekin, A., & Biland, J. (2018). Comparing the terrain reversal effect in satellite images and in shaded relief maps: an examination of the effects of color and texture on 3D shape perception from shading. *International Journal of Digital Earth*, 1–18. <http://doi.org/10.1080/17538947.2018.1447030>
- Çöltekin, A., Rautenbach, V., Coetzee, S., & Mokwena, T. (2018). Landform perception accuracy in shaded relief maps: a replication study confirms that nnw lighting is better than nw against the relief inversion effect. *ISPRS - International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, XLII-4, 101–106. <http://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-4-101-2018>
- Imhof, E. (1967). Shading and Shadows. In *Cartographic Relief Representation* (Vol. 2007, pp. 159–212).
- Kleffner, D. a., & Ramachandran, V. S. (1992). On the perception of shape from shading. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 52(1), 18–36. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1635855>
- Bernabé-Poveda, M., Callejo, M., & Ballari, D. (2005). Correction of Relief Inversion in Images Served by a Web Map Server. In *International Cartographic Conference (ICC)* (Vol. 1). Retrieved from [http://www.cartesia.org/geodoc/icc2005/pdf/oral/TEMA6/Session 8/ANGEL BERNABE.pdf](http://www.cartesia.org/geodoc/icc2005/pdf/oral/TEMA6/Session%208/ANGEL%20BERNABE.pdf)
- Prados, E., & Faugeras, O. (2006). Shape from shading. In *Handbook of mathematical models in computer ...* (pp. 375–388). [http://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-28831-7\\_23](http://doi.org/10.1007/0-387-28831-7_23)
- Saraf, A. K., Das, J. D., Agarwal, B., & Sundaram, R. M. (1996). False topography perception phenomena and its correction. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 17(18), 3725–3733. <http://doi.org/10.1080/01431169608949180>